Ten Years Ago -- The Bonus Army Eviction

— See Page 2 —

THE MILITANT

PUBLISHED IN THE INTERESTS OF THE WORKING PEOPLE

VOL. VI. — No. 30

NEW YORK, N. Y., SATURDAY, JULY 25, 1942

FIVE (5) CENTS

STEEL WORKERS DENIED SI-A-DAY RAISE

India's Demand For Immediate Independence

By Felix Morrow

The Working Committee of the Indian National Congress on July 14 adopted a resolution which has been viciously condemned by the British and American capitalist press - but what is in the resolution the people of Britain and America are not being permitted to know.

(The full text of this resolution appears on page 2 of

The British and American press is "free" but a totalitarian press could scarcely have been more successful in concealing from the American people the truth about India's demands for independence. Only one American newspaper, the New York Times, has published the text of the Congress resolution — but larded in among a stream of anti-India stories from London and accompanied by an editorial charging that the resolution plays into the hands of Japan.

The misrepresentation of the July 14 resolution is only the latest incident in a deliberate and systematic campaign. The Indian Congress adopted a resolution on the fall of Burma. The British government prohibited all printers, publishers and editors from publishing the resolution in whole or in part and, so far as we know, not a single copy of this resolution has yet arrived in America. Yet the lesson of the fall of Burma - due to the universal hostility of the Burmese people toward their British oppressors and to the refusal of Churchill to permit the Chinese troops to enter in adequate numbers to defend the Burma Road — indicates the future of India if Britain remains

Let us recall also the falsification by the American press of the meaning of the Cripps proposal, hailing it as "India's Magna Charta" as a "gift of freedom." That Cripps was asking the Indian National Congress to underwrite the continued autocratic rule of the "Native Princes" over 93 million subjects in the native states; that Britain would remain in real control except for a few more native puppet-ministers; that the "constitution-making" body to be convened after the war would be so chosen as to be dominated by the princes and the wealthy industrialists - of all this the American press said not a word.

Nor is this anti-India campaign limited to the press. In the army camps the American soldiers have been shown British films glorifying British imperialism as the benevolent ruler who "united" a country which cannot unite itself. Colonels have lectured their troops on the "duty" of the white man to "save" India. And many of these American troops have been sent or are being sent to India. A large proportion of those sent, apparently by deliberate policy (so Pearl Buck appears to believe) are white Southerners prejudiced against dark skins. Already word has come back of ugly incidents between Indians and American troops.

The vast campaign to suppress the facts about India is being conducted in such a totalitarian manner precisely because the case for India's independence is so irrefutable.

Do you really want to prevent Japan from conquering India? ask the Indian nationalists. If that is your main aim, rather than maintenance of British rule, then let India have its independence and the 400 millions of India will defend it against Japan and any other foreign power.

The Indian resolution correctly points out the analogy between India under British rule and the fate of British-ruled Malaya, Singapore and Burma, where the natives would not lift a finger to help the hated British oppressors. The Congress points out - and Churchill cannot deny - that among the great masses of India there has developed "a rapid and widespread increase of ill-will against Britain and a growing satisfaction at the success of Japanese arms." Not because they are pro-Japanese - on the contrary, the Indian masses are in sympathy with China against Japan - but first and foremost they rejoice at any blows dealt against their British oppressors. It is clear that the Congress now speaks out for independence primarily under the pressure of the masses of India.

All this, once stated, is so clear that the workers must begin to ask themselves: why the campaign misrepresenting the real situation in India? Why the unalterable opposition of Churchill and Roosevelt to India's independence?

The answer is to be found in understanding what Churchill and Roosevelt are really fighting for. Churchill is fighting to maintain the British Empire - which means above all India. Roosevelt and American capitalism expect to inherit the British imperial possessions, keeping Britain as a junior partner. If Japan invades India, Anglo-American forces will eventually dislodge the Nipponese, but if India wins real independence then the British and Americans are dislodged from India forever. Churchill would much rather lose India to Japan with the chance of fighting another war and winning back India than to win this war by surrendering India to the Indian people. This is the basic line of reasoning of American and British imperialism.

But the American and British workers have no interest whatever in supporting the continued enslavement of India. On the contrary the interests of the workers is bound up with freedom for every people. As India raises its voice, it also makes clear the fundamental cleavage of interests between the masses of America and England and the imperialist interests of the

The crime of the Indian National Congress leadership is not its resistance to British rule. Its real crime is its long record of collaboration with and capitulation to British imperialism, which is by no means ended by its July 14 resolution. That is why the Indian workers and peasants require their own organizations to conduct the fight for freedom. We shall deal with that question next week.

New Tax Bill

Places Chief Burdens Of the War On Low-**Income Earners**

A new income tax, which represents another brutal slash into the living standards of the masses, was adopted on July 20 by the House of Represenhandles the capitalists with the most gentle consideration, now goes to the Senate for action by the Don river, the Nazis have 3

While tax rate increases on the worker and dirt farmers jump as high in the new bill as 1640 per cent, the rate of increase proposed for those receiving \$50,000 a year or more is less than 25% and tapers down to less than 11.5% for those receiving \$5,000,000 or

The measure proposes that tax es will start for couples with two children at \$2100 a year instead of MUCH PRODUCTIVE \$2400 as at present; for couples CAPACITY LOST without dependents at \$1300 instead of \$1700, and for single persons without dependents at \$600 instead of \$800. A single worker

The net amounts left for the wealthy after the new taxes recall President Roosevelt's promises aout limiting incomes to \$25,000 a year. Even this limit on init, is apparently forgotten by the House dominated by the central idea of soaking the workers.

Even after the increase in taxes, there will be a shortage of ap-Treasury Morgenthau. To make up this deficiency, sales taxes and other forms of taxation are threatened after the November elections

The financial program of the capitalist class is to make the toilers pay for the war. No talk about "equality of sacrifice" or any other propaganda can change

New York Parade To Protest Legal Murder of Waller

NEW YORK, July 21-To protest the legal lynching of Odell Waller in Virginia, the charges filed with the Ministry of mob lynching of Willie Vinson in Texas, the shooting of Private Jessie Smith in Arizona and the beating of Roland Hayes were filed by J. A. Hall, president and his wife in Georgia, New of the Yorkshire Miners Associa-York Negro and white workers tion, who said that "the union will hold a "silent parade" to has lost control of the youths who the beat of muffled drums on have been affected by 'subversive Saturday, July 25.

The demonstration is being held under the auspices of the New York division of the Marchon-Washington movement, and is supported by 50 organizations including the AFL Brotherhood of Trotsky and professed to be the Sleeping Car Porters, the CIO organ of the Fourth Internation United Transport Service Employees, the ILGWU, Joint Board Building Service Employes Union | sive character of the Socialist Ap-Workers Defense League and

Last week a letter to President Negro leaders, including A. Phi- that military academies for trainlip Randolph, declared, "Waller's ing of workers' officers be estabelectrocution has intensified a lished by the trade unions. wave of determination for a showdown on the question of total democracy for the Negro | Hall that "the paper is distributwhich cannot long be held back by leaders who use the bargain pounds (\$40) a week for their and compromise method. It was activities." the signal for the barbarous forces in this country to renew the unleashing of their venom of hatred upon the Negro people."

Wearing b l a c k mourning armbands and carrying signs of of charges of sabotage in two unprotest against lynching, Waller's electrocution and the poll mination of these facts will have tax, the marchers will assemble at 2:30 P. M. at 56th St. and 8th Ave., march south to 14th St., and east to Union Square, where at 4:00 P. M. a mass meeting will which publishes the Socialist Apconclude the demonstration. peal, have been active in the

House Passes Loss Of Vital Regions **Menaces Soviet Defense**

Fall of Caucasus Would Deprive Red Army per cent of the conquests of all Of Basic War Materials, Food and Fuel

By JOHN G. WRIGHT

In recent weeks the German armies have added thousands of square miles to their previous conquests in the first year of the war, which amount approximately to half a million square miles of Soviet territory. This represents an area larger than the tatives. The tax bill, which combined areas of England, France, Italy, Czecho-Slovakia, Belgium and Holland.

By overrunning the Donets basin and entering the basin of iron ore that were lost in 1941 at Krivoi Rog must now be added 66,000 million tons of coal in the year. Donets basin. The Ukraine was one of the important manganese producing centers. Among other vital minerals lost must be included: aluminum, mercury, lead

ment the losses have been even the loss of the city of Voroshilovproducing area, but also of vital- ness of the Red Army.

completely deprived the Soviet 1,000 locomotives a year were Union of its coal, iron and steel produced in Voroshilovgrad. Ac- viet front into three isolated segbase. To the 800 million tons of cording to the plan the output by 1942 was to have reached more than 2,000 locomotives a

The remaining network of Soviet railways has thus been dealt another terrible blow. At the same time the German armies are directly threatening the Stalingrad oblast. As is well known, Stalingrad, the capital city of this region, is one of the main machine building centers of the In terms of industrial equip- USSR. The loss of its vast tractor plant, reputed to be the largmore staggering. For example, est in the world, would in and of itself constitute an irreparearning \$11.52 a week will pay grad alone represents the loss able blow both to Soviet econnot only of the key coal and coke omy and the military effective-

ly important machine building In the first year of the war, enterprises. In 1939 more than under the Stalinist leadership, 40

the Five Year plans were either captured by the Germans or destroyed. The same fate now threatens another 25 per cent.

The threat to Rostov and Stalingrad represents at the same time the threat to the Caucasus. By their breakthrough to the Don river the Germans have isolated the Southern armies from the center. The capture of Stalingrad would isolate the Red armies in the Caucasus from the southern armies, i.e., cut the So-

WHAT LOSS OF THE CAUCASUS WOULD MEAN

What would the loss of the Caucasus signify in the face of the present situation? First, it perilous. It is estimated that ers only 44 cents a day. there are roughly 600,000 trac-How will these be supplied? In terms of fuel, the loss of the Caucasian oil fields in view of the loss of the Ukraine coal would place Soviet economy into an inextricable position.

But even this is not all that the loss of the Caucasus Implies. This area contains the largest manganese mines in the world. The loss of this supply added to the losses already suffered in the Ukraine would virtually cripple the remaining Soviet steel plants. There are other important mineral resources in this area along with industrial enterprises of no small importance to the USSR.

SOVIET FOOD SUPPLY THREATENED

Furthermore, since the loss of the great Ukrainian granary the the South, have been largely depending upon the north Caucasian area and the Don valley for (Continued on page 4)

Gallup Poll Shows Most Workers Got No Wage Increases

Six out of every ten workers' families are receiving about the same income as they did a year ago! One worker's family out come than it did at the same time last year!

These facts, brought out by

the Gallup poll on July 18, are of the Socialist Appeal, we can a refutation of the din of propaganda of the capitalist lie factories about the huge wages workers are supposed to be receiving as a result of the war program. With prices at least 10 per cent higher than twelve months ago, and with open and hidden taxes eating into the workers' income, the mines, the British Trotskyists | it is easy to see that the amount condemn the mineowners for their of "real wages"—the quantity of food, shelter and clothing-that that living costs had gone up the majority of American work- over 13% after April, 1941. The ers and their families gets, is sub- 5.5% wage increase granted the

> a year ago. Seven per cent, or nearly 2,000,-000 workers' families exist on less than \$10 a week. Another 2,000,-000 raise their families on a total income of less than \$15 a week. Twenty-two per cent get less than tied purchasing power to a low \$20 a week. Eighty per cent of point, and is attempting to dethe overwhelming majority of they won by their organized workers' families, is less than struggles in the spring of 1941 \$60 a. week.

The figures given are all of gross income and include the far more than admitted by the earnings of all the wage earners in the family and all income of no matter what source-from the salary of the family head to the money Junior gets by selling newspapers.

ers, as the Gallup poll reveals.

And Roosevelt intends to go before Congress sometime next month to ask legislation for great-

WLB Would Cheat Unions Of Gains Won In 1941 Strikes

Murray's Acceptance of Ruling Encourages New Drive Against Workers' Standards

By JOE ANDREWS

The War Labor Board on July 15 turned down the demands of the CIO United Steel Workers for a dollar-a-day general wage increase in Little Steel, and set the stage in this first major decision for further administration moves toward more drastic wage control. As soon as the announcement was

made that Philip Murray and the USWA officials had accepted the WLB decision, Roosevelt issued a press release stating that he was preparing a message to Congress asking for the power to clamp down further on wages. 9-

WLB fact-finding panel that the the purchasing power of the steel corporations could easily afford to pay the dollar-a-day would deprive the Red Army of increase, the War Labor Board, its main oil supply. The strain on with the 4 labor members dis-Soviet economy would be no less senting, granted the steel work-

In a five-point decision designtors still operating in agriculture. ed as a general policy to deal with all demands for wage increases, the War Labor Board action in the Little Steel case would peg the purchasing power of the workers to the January 1, 1941 level. WLB Chairman William H. Davis stated: "This will lead to a 'terminal' for the tragic race between wages and prices."

The truth is that the Board moved toward establishing a "terminal" for wages, but no end

to May 1942... the cost of liv-ing increased by about 15%. If no wage increases." diately preceding or following Soviet armies, especially those of this period, their established peacetime standards have been broken. If any group averaged a 15% wage increase or more, their established peacetime standards have been preserved."

TRY TO DESTROY LABOR'S 1941 GAINS

This decision, which will in turn be applied to the workers in Big Steel and will affect the Ford, General Motors, Chrysler, and aircraft demands now pending before the WLB, aims at freezing the purchasing power of the workers at the January 1, 1941 level, and is a long step in the direction of freezing wages altogether.

With the purchasing power of January 1, 1941 as the yardstick, the WLB has deliberately chosen a period prior to the big strike wave of the spring of 1941, when the mass production workers won wage increases all along the line. Since these increases won after January 1, will be deducted from the 15% rise allowed by the board, most of the workers in major industries such as auto, steel, and aircraft will receive only minute increases, if this policy is carried out.

The fact-finding panel found stantially less than they received Little Steel workers by the Board, leaves at least an 8% disparity between their "peacetime purchasing power" as of April, 1941, and the present time. By choosing the January 1, 1941 level as the base, the WLB has

Actually, the purchasing power of the workers has suffered WLB. The Board took into account only the conservative figures of the government on the rise in living costs. But the workers' standards have been reduced by the increase in taxation Yes, plenty of money is being on workers' incomes, by hidden made as a result of the war-but price rises, by the deterioration by the employers, not the work- in the quality of consumers' goods, and by the campaign to force savings and investment in war bonds. All these factors added to the fact that consumers' goods are disappearing from the

In spite of the report of the market, have combined to lower workers.

In addition to the 44-cent daily increase, the WLB granted a maintenance of membership and check-off clause to the union and provided for a 78-cent an hour ninimum. This minimum affects Bethlehem Steel primarily, where piece work still operated to keep down hourly rates.

THE STAND OF ROOSEVELT'S AGENTS

The full forces of the administration were thrown into the campaign to force the unions to accept some form of wage control. During the steel deliberations, Leon Henderson, OPA head, made statements demanding the freezing of wages. Just is in sight for the rise in living prior to the steel decision, Richard Gilbert, deputy administra-The five-point program handed tor of the Office of Price Admindown by the WLB stated: "For istration, told the aircraft stabilthe period from January 1, 1941 ization conference in Los Angeles

any group of workers averaged | The July 20, CIO News charges less than a 15% increase in hour- that "The decision of the Board ly wage rates during or imme- is predicated upon the policy promulgated by Leon Henderson, head of the Office of Price Administration. That agency, instead of concentrating its attention on price fixing and democratic rationing of consumer goods. has maintained its attack against legitimate and equitable wage adjustments for the workers.'

The CIO News did not mention that both Henderson and Gilbert, as well as the War Labor Board. were following out the policy laid down by Roosevelt.

The WLB policy attempts to leave certain loopholes to serve as safety valves against serious mass unrest. Although the general W-LB policy ties purchasing power to the January 1, 1941 level, there is room for occasional divergences from this general policy. "The Board will give due consideration to inequalities and the elimination of substandards of living," the decision stated.

In most cases however, the pressure for upward adjustments comes from the well-organized workers who have won wage increases since January 1, 1941, and further increases for them are virtually excluded under the WLB Those workers whose wages are at the lowest levels are by and large poorly organized and unable to exert the pressure necessary to force the WLB to grant raises.

MURRAY BACKS DOWN

In accepting the Board's decision, the CIO leadership took another step in the sacrifice of labor's rights and standards. By agreeing to the pegging of work. ers' purchasing power to the January 1, 1941 level. Philip Murray and the CIO officialdom have strengthened the administration drive toward ultimate wage freez-

The record of the CIO officials in this case is a repetition of the vacillation and retreat that has marked their step-by-step surrender of labor's gains which began many months before Pearl Har-

Prior to the decision, Murray made several statements attacking wage freezing and in support of the dollar-a-day demand. In statements to the press he hinted at rejection of the Board decision if the union's demands were not Asked whether the CIO met. could do this, he said, "I under-

(Continued on page 3)

come, which would far from impose hardship on those receiving it is apparently forgotten by the Frameup Launched proximately \$2,500,000,000 in the sum asked by Secretary of the Treasury Morganthan To make

Mine-owners and Union Bureaucrats Answer 'Socialist Appeal' Charges With Slanders

By ANTHONY MASSINI

Frameup and slanderous charges of "sabotage" are the nethods used by the British employers and their agents in the labor movement to counteract the growing influence and activities of the Trotskyists among the British workers, particularly the miners. In this way, it is evident from a July 14 London UP dispatch and the June issue of Socialist Appeal received in this country last week, the British® mine-owners are trying to distract struggles of the Yorkshire and

criminal mismanagement of the According to the UP dispatch, Scotland Yard is investigating Fuel and Power that "pit boys in two Yorkshire collieries have seriously sabotaged valuable underground workings." The charges

public attention from their own

"He called attention to circulation throughout the mining districts of the monthly Socialist Apveal, which he said had carried a large potrait of the late Leon

The UP dispatch also quoted of Laundry Workers Union, Hall as describing the "subver-

> peal as follows: "It demanded that workers control mines and munition works,

The dispatch concluded with the time-worn slander made by ed by young men who receive 10

WHAT TROTSKYISTS REALLY ADVOCATE We are not in a position in this country to check on the accuracy

named Yorkshire collieries. Deterto await a report from Britain. We do know in this country, however, that members of the Workers International League.

others British miners. We have no doubt, therefore, that workers in the Yorkshire collieries have probably read the Socialist Appeal, whose circulation is growing among the miners because of its forthright support of their de-

And on the basis of our knowledge of the WIL and the contents categorically reject any charge that the Socialist Appeal advocates or counsels sabotage in the British mines or that it is in any way responsible for sabotage that may have been committed.

For, far from advocating saboage and decreased production in sabotage and mismanagement of

As the leading editorial in the June Appeal points out, the mineowners "close the most productive seams for 'after the war' while they prosecute the workers for Roosevelt from many prominent that the workers be armed, and absenteeism." And this same editorial calls on the British miners to fight for workers' control of the mines because in this way "they could protect and raise the all families' incomes, which means prive the workers of the benefits living standards of the miners and they could guarantee to produce all the coal needed, by sweeping aside the vested inter-

ests of the coal barons." MINERS' COMPLAINTS

Behind this attack on the Trotskyists is also an attempt to silence and intimidate all the other militant miners, who are among the most exploited and discontented section of the British working class, as L. Lawrence, an American recently returned from Britain, reported in last week's MILI-

> Their dissatisfaction with chi-(Continued on page 3)

Bonus Army: "Heroes of 1917, Bums of 1932"

Ten Years Ago This Week General MacArthur's Troops Drove The Veterans Out Of Washington

By Felix Morrow

Ten years ago, on July 28, 1932, General Douglas MacArthur, then Chief of Staff of the Army, led his troops - cavalry, tanks and infantry - down Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington, D. C. At Third Street they charged the first contingent of the enemy. With tear gas and bayonet jabs and rifle butts they drove a group of World War veterans from shacks and half-demolished buildings which had been their homes for ten weeks. The veterans, expertly tossing back tear gas bombs, retreated only when physically overcome. The soldiers set fire to the shacks to make sure the veterans would have nothing to return to. The flames and smoke rose high, a fitting frame for the dome of the Capitol rising above them in the background.

Under the personal direction of General MacArthur the soldiers — they were young boys, all veterans of the World War had been combed out and left behind at Fort Myers - repeated the mopping-up operation in nearby streets. Then they moved across the river to Anacostia Flats, where the main body of World War veterans were encamped in shacks and tents. All told, there were about 20,000 vets, about 400 of them with their wives and children. Driven by the troops, they were scattered that night over the neighboring states of Virginia and Maryland. The flaming shacks and tents looked like a big city devastated by incendiary bombs.

That was the end of the Bonus March, so far as Washington was concerned. But 20,000 marchers, scattered to the four winds, told millions of workers and farmers how they had come to ask for bread and got bullets. Two veterans murdered that Bloody Thursday, Eric Carlson and Bill Hushka, became symbols of the gratitude of the ruling class for whom they had fought in 1917.

The Bonus Army was a completely spontaneous creation of the unemployed veterans. The March was strenuously opposed by the American Legion, the Veterans of Foreign Wars and other "respectable" veteran organizations, as well as the Republican and Democratic parties. Even the liberals - The Nation, Norman Thomas' Socialist Party, etc. - found a reason for opposing it, calling the demand for the bonus unjustified. The Communist Party, then in its "third period," had practically no influence on the veterans. No one issued the call for the March. It just happened. Driven by hunger and want, small groups of veterans in various parts of the country started for Washington. Like a snowball rolling down a hill the march gathered volume; at least 30,000 vets arrived in Washington between May

For these tens of thousands to come penniless across the country was possible only because everywhere they found the masses actively in sympathy with them. Everywhere the unemployed, with sound instinct, understood that the B. E. F. was breaking a path to Washington which could later be followed



Veterans' shacks at Pennsylvania Avenue and 3rd Street after they were set afire by troops.

by the unemployed with broader demands. The Bonus Marchers had, besides, the powerful moral authority of men who had risked their lives in battle ostensibly for a world in which they would be secure.

Hence the marchers easily brushed aside all attempts by federal and local authorities to prevent their continuing on to Washington. They commandeered freight cars and coupled them on to fast trains en route to Washington. They relinquished the trains only in return for state-owned trucks which carried them from one state to the next. Here are a few clippings from two Washington newspapers, the Star and the News of May 2-24,

"400 World War veterans en route to Washington ran roughshod over the pleadings and orders of railroad officials today and reached St. Louis from Council Bluffs, Iowa, in five commandeered freight cars which they compelled a train crew to hook on to a train. . ."

"From California a group of 400 left last week. . . A similar sized contingent left Alabama at about the same time." "250 Seize Freight Train at Brunswick, Md."

"Free transportation for the 'army' was agreed upon today by Mississippi county and municipal authorities after the veterans fought with police in railroad yards at New Orleans."

"Detroit Veterans Commandeer Street Cars to Leave Town." "A second caravan of 131 cars from Los Angeles, loaded with bonus seekers, passed through. Fifty cars joined the lines at Hagerstown, Md."

"CASEYVILLE, Ill. - The veterans arriving here today organized a 'workers' council' to assume command. . . It was this council that ordered the captured train released and accepted instead Sheriff Munie's offer of trucks."

Part of the B. E. F. camp at Anacostia before General MacArthur's troops burned it down.

to cheer on the veterans in every city and hamlet. Under popular pressure city authorities welcomed the vets, fed them and housed them, and made money collections to help speed them

General Pelham D. Glassford, Chief of Police of Washington, later wrote: "some members of the wealthy classes throughout the nation looked upon the occupation of the nation's capital

contingents. That new winds were blowing was clear when Negroes arrived side by side with whites in some of the Southern contingents and lived side by side with them in the shacks in Washington.

Perhaps the most significant fact about the Bonus Army is that all government attempts to get it to leave Washington failed. In the end only naked force could drive out the veterans. They had come to get Congress to vote immediate payment of the Adjusted Service Certificate (issued in 1924 but payable in 1945, granting \$1 a day for service at home and \$1.25 for overseas service.) On July 16 Congress adjourned at midnight, the Senators and Representatives sneaking out side doors and fleeing the city, in fear of the veterans. Now, said the authorities, the veterans should all leave. Before adjourning, Congress had voted \$100,000 for fares to return veterans to their homes. But most of the veterans would not leave. Many had no homes to return to, the rest would not return empty-handed. The cry went up for a special session of Congress. In any event the veterans would not leave Washington.

adequate to create a leadership from the ranks strong and able enough to carry on in Washington in the same spirit that had carried them across the country. Politically inexperienced, pitifully trustful of "friends," the vets found themselves operating under a leadership imposed on them, and which betrayed them

Two slogans were especially popular among the veterans. They painted them crudely on signs and on the freight cars and jalopies. "Heroes of 1917 - Bums of 1932." "We Fought for Democracy - What Did We Get." The slogans caught on with the great crowds who came

as a revolutionary action."

Negro veterans were conspicuously active in many of the

How remarkable was the persistence of the veterans can only be appreciated in the light of their treatment during their stay in Washington.

The spontaneity which had created the march proved in-

Police Chief Glassford played a very skillful game. He

Makes A Good Point

A news story in the July 11 MILITANT, entitled "24,000 Factories To Be Shut Down, Says WPB," correctly points out that while the capitalists are promising a better world for the masses after the war, they are tightening their monopoly grip on production during the war. The article concluded by saying:

"The facts are that after the war the same forces whose domination of the productive forces before the war prevented the workers from achieving security, will be in even stronger control than before.'

This formulation I consider to be inadequate and therefore incorrect. For it fails to take into consideration a very important factor — the probability or at least strong possibility that the capitalists' plans will be upset by the workers intervening and establishing a Workers' and Farmers' Government that will actually bring security to the mass-

It is inexcusable. I believe, for a paper whose perspective is socialism to overlook this all-important factor even in a comparatively minor article.

New York City (P. B. is absolutely correct.-THE EDITOR.

As one of your readers I have

always admired the consistent

way you have tried to expose

the vile methods and practices of

the United Electrical, Radio and

How you overlooked the juicy

Machine Workers, CIO.

Interesting News Item

The Militant

southeast corner of 125th Street and Lenox Avenue announced that he had accepted responsibility for seeing that the B. E. F. was fed and housed — and appointed himself the Army's Secretary-Treasurer. Likewise he appointed one W. Waters, from Portland, Oregon, as Commander-in-Chief of the B. E. F. Whether Waters and similar "leaders" were FBI agents to begin with, or whether Glassford corrupted them, they were

In control of the B. E. F. leadership, Glassford was also successful in his plan to get the veterans away from the city proper. With the bait of some tents and field kitchens he sat up in Anacostia Flats, Glassford had Waters order the men to go there. Between Washington and Anacostia was the river and drawbridges, which could be raised to prevent the men from going to the Capitol. Only a few contingents refused to go to Anacostia and they set their shacks up on Pennsylvania Avenue, the main artery of the city.

Next Glassford raised the Red Scare to divide the veterans. On May 28 he announced: "Communist-led veterans are to be separated from loyal veterans." The Glassford-Waters dictatorship set up a system of Military Police at Anacostia. Whoever asked for a democratic vote to elect leaders or determine policies became a Red, seized and beaten by the M. P.'s and driven from camp. One day dynamite was allegedly found and became an added excuse for the dictatorship.

Food and shelter were deliberately sabotaged by Glassford and his agents. Offers of farmers' groups to send food were ignored, the food-collections were kept down to starvation-level, sums donated by sympathizers were not used for feeding the men, etc. For ten weeks many of the men slept on the ground. Their attempts to build beds and more permanent shacks were discouraged by the M. P.'s on one pretext or another.

The men had come to demonstrate to Congress. But the only demonstration the Waters leadership called was a patriotic parade on the evening of June 7. A California contingent which broke away from Waters organized a Death Watch from July 11 to the adjournment of Congress on July 16 — a 24-hour-aday picket line - and this was the only organized veterans' group at the Capitol throughout the March. Instead of demonstrations, Waters kept the men at Anacostia, offering them vaudeville shows, community songs, etc. — anything except what they had come to Washington to do.

Yet, despite all these terrible handicaps, the men stood firm. Neither paid fares, nor promises of jobs through government agencies elsewhere, nor threats, nor the terrible living conditions at Anacostia, nor the demoralizing role of the Waters leadership' - nothing could make the veterans leave Washington.

It was later said that Hoover and MacArthur had made a "blunder" in using troops to smash the B. E. F. If by "blunder" is meant that they could have dispersed the Bonus Army without troops, that is extremely doubtful. The 20,000 men who remained in Washington on July 28 (about 10,000 others had left by then) were desperate men. They were childlike politically, but one thing they knew - they would not leave Washington until Congress had voted immediate payment of their back-

Even more important, the presence of the Bonus Army in Washington constituted a powerful attraction to the unemployed throughout the nation. The Waters leadership successfully resisted all attempts to link the bonus demand with the general demands of the unemployed for jobs and adequate relief but, as anyone connected with the unemployed movement then will recall, the unemployed looked upon the Bonus Army as their advance-guard. During the twelve days between the adjournment of Congress and the crushing of the Bonus Army, the Washington press published reports, not only of additional veterans' contingents on the way to Washington, but also of groups of unemployed non-veterans who were marching on Washington. Had the Bonus Army stayed on, it is very likely that it would have become the core of a vast army of the unemployed occupying

Hence by the end of July the federal authorities decided they dared wait no longer. The Treasury Department, which owned the property at Pennsylvania and Third, ordered the police to remove the veterans from the property. In the attempt to do so, the police killed Bill Hushka and Eric Carlson. Under the pretext that the police were no longer able to maintain order, troops were called out. General MacArthur considered the task of driving the veterans out so important that he - the topranking figure of the army! - personally led the troops.

Afterwards, amid the universal outcry against the government violence, Police Chief Glassford, refusing to be made the

"It has been the claim of the Administration that the use of troops was the result of a spontaneous emergency which arose only after the police of Washington had lost control of the

"That is far from being the fact. It can be proved. . . that troops were in training as early as June for just such a climax; that both officers and men at Army and Marine posts adjacent to Washington were being held in readiness without leave for a long period. . . It can be shown that these troops were receiving special training in the use of tear gas and in maneuvers incident to dispersing crowds. . .

"The only reason evacuation at Pennsylvania and Third on the 28th was insisted on by the Administration was because the Government expected some disorder to result. It needed this to give an appearance of justice for the policy on which it had decided. The Government assumed the role of agent provocateur unprecedented in this country." (N. Y. American, Nov.

How deeply the country resented the treatment of the B. E. F. was indicated when General MacArthur was booed off the platform at the American Legion Convention at Portland, Oregon, in the fall of 1932.

When it was announced that MarArthur would lead Roosevelt's inaugural parade, protests poured into Washington in such volume that secret service agents took extraordinary precautions, fearing an attempt on MacArthur's life. Roosevelt insisted on backing MacArthur, who not only led the parade, but served out his term as Chief of Staff. . . In the end, in spite of Roosevelt's veto, immediate payment of the Adjusted Service Certificate was voted by Congress and the issue was ended. . . Today publicity has put a halo of heroism around MacArthur.

But those still living of the 20,000 veterans of Bloody Thursday have not forgotten. Often, in the intervening years, I have run across such veterans and we have re-lived that day. For not a few of them it was the turning-point in their lives. I am thinking especially of one of them who, four years later, led a sit-down strike. "We learned their tricks in Washington," he said. "When they tried to fool us into going out of the plant or letting them in, I remembered the B. E. F. That's one reason why we won our strike."

He and others like him drew the lessons of Bloody Thursday. And they have handed down the story to millions of others including many of the soldiers now marching off to war. When they try to make bums out of the Heroes of 1942, we shall see that the great battle of the "Heroes of 1917 - Bums of 1932" was not fought in vain.

Text Of The All-India Congress' Resolution

The following is the text of the resolution adopted by the and for the common cause Working Committee of the All-India Congress at Wardha, India, | meeting aggression. last week, as it appeared in the New York Times:

Events happening from day to people of India are passing acceptance of aggression.

through confirm the opinion of Congressmen that British rule in India must end immediately, not merely because foreign domina tion at its best is evil in itself and a continuing injury to a subject people but because India in bondage can play no effective part in defending herself and in effect ing the fortunes of the war that is desolating humanity.

The freedom of India is thus necessary not only in the interests | power. of India but also for the safety of the world, for ending nazism and fascism, militarism and other forms of imperialism and aggression of one nation over another

Ever since the outbreak of the World War the Congress has studiously pursued a policy of nonembarrassment.

Even at the risk of making its Satyagraha (civil disobedience) ineffective, it deliberately gave it symbolic character in the hope that the policy of non-embarrass- about a solution of the communal ment, carried to its logical extreme, would be duly appreciated sible by the presence of a foreign and that real power would be transferred to the popular representatives so as to enable the na- can the present unreality give tion to make its fullest contribuman freedom throughout the parties, face India's problems and tions in resisting aggression and to the movement. world which is in danger of being solve them on a mutual and a- in helping China.

It also was hoped negatively ain's hold on India.

WHAT CRIPPS'

PROPOSAL SHOWED These hopes, however, were dashed to pieces: the abortive Cripps's (Sir Stafford Cripps, Lord Privy Seal) proposals showed in the clearest possible manner that there was no change in the British Government's attitude to India and that the British hold on India would in no way be re-

Cripps Congress representatives of all the important sections of tried their utmost to achieve a the people of India which will minimum consistent with the na- later evolve a scheme whereby a tional demand, but it was of no

rapid and widespread increase of India acceptable to all sections of ill will against Britain and a the people. growing satisfaction at the success of Japanese arms.

day and the experience which the ed, will inevitably lead to passive

gression must be resisted, for any submission to it must mean the degradation of the Indian people and continuation of their subject-

The Congress is anxious to a void the experience of Malaya, Singapore and Burma and desires to build up resistance to any aggression or invasion of India by the Japanese or any foreign

The Congress would change the present ill-will against Britain to good-will and make India a willing partner in the joint enterprise of securing freedom for the nations of the people of the world in the trials and tribulations which or other aggression and to protect accompany it. This is only possible if India can feel the glow

BRITISH DOMINATION

have tried their utmost to bring tangle. But this is made impospower and only after ending foreign domination and intervention sult in the establishing of a stable tance. The whole of socialism is place to reality and the people of tion toward the realization of hu- India, belonging to all groups and government and the United Nagreed basis.

The present political parties, that nothing would be done which formed chiefly with a view to at- course. Such risks, however, was calculated to tighten Brit- tracting the attention of and in- have to be faced by any country probably cease to function.

In the first time in India's history the realization will come zamindars and the propertied and monied classes derive their wealth the fields ,factories and elsewhere authority must belong.

rule from India responsible men and women of the country will come together to form a provi-In their negotiations with sional government representative consistent assembly can be convened in order to prepare a con- claim their allegiance. This frustration resulted in a stitution for the government of

The representatives of free India and Great Britain will confer fail the Congress cannot view United Nations, the working com-The Working Committee view together for the adjustment of fu- without the gravest apprehension mittee will refer them to the Allthis development with grave ap- ture relations for the coopera- the continuation of the present India Congress Committee for

It is the earnest desire of Con gress to enable India to resist ag- British Commando gression effectively with peoples of united will and strength behind it.

In making the proposal for the withdrawal of British rule from India the Congress has no desire whatever to embarrass Britain or the Allied powers in their proseor, of course, pressure on China, ceived in this country. by the Japanese or any other group. Nor is it the intention of Congress to jeopardize the defen-

sive capacity of the Allied powers. The Congress, therefore, is agreeable to the stationing of arm ed forces of the Allies in India, should they so desire, in order to the thing we have been looking ward off and resist the Japanese for. Send me six more copies...

and help China. of British power from India was never intended to mean the physical withdrawal of Britons from The Congress representatives India and certainly not those who would make India their home and live there as citizens and as

equals with the others. If such a withdrawal takes place with good-will it would reprovisional government in India in its few pages, and so concise and in cooperation between this and clear, that indeed you could

The Congress realises that there may be risks involved in such a fluencing British power, will then in order to achieve freedom, and more especially at the present critical juncture, in order to save the country and the larger cause home that the princes, jagirdars, of freedom the world over from far greater risks and perils.

While, therefore, the Congress and property from the workers in is impatient to achieve its national purpose it wishes to take to whom essentially power and no hasty step and would like to avoid as far as possible any course On the withdrawal of British of action that might embarrass the United Nations.

The Congress would plead with the British power to accept very reasonable and just proposals wherein made not only in the interests of India but also those of freedom, of the cause of freedom which the United Nations pro-

CONGRESS VIEWS WITH APPREHENSION

Should, however, this appeal prehension as this, unless check. tion of the two countries as allies state of affairs involving progres. final decision.

Cannon Pamphlet Sells Well Among **British Workers**

Just What We Were Looking for, Says

Reports from England show that the testimony of James P. Cannon at the Minneapolis "sedition" trial, printed in the United States under the title 'Socialism on Trial," is meetcution of the war or in any way ing as enthusiastic a response to encourage aggression on India from British workers as it re-

Printed by the Workers Interpower associated with the Axis national League as "An ABC of Trotskyism," the pamphlet has drawn comment from a number of interesting sources:

A Commando writes; "I have passed my copy around till it is black. The Military Policy is just From a Hendon shop steward: "Please send another dozen 'Can-The proposal for the withdrawal | non's Testimony.' It has caused tremendous interest in my shop and I have already sold 36 copies.

Workers who are prepared to may

1 shilling for a pamphlet are in-

terested enough to read it." From a student: "I cannot say how impressed I am with the issue, which amounts to a Trotskyist Manifesto 1940' in imporuse it as a primer for newcomers

Copies of the United States edition of this important pamphlet, together with a companion pamphlet, "In Defense of Socialism," concluding speech at the trial by the defendant and chief defense counsel, Albert Goldman, are on sale from Pioneer Publishers, 116 University Pl., New York City. The price of these pamphlets is 10 cents each.

sive deterioration in the situation and weakening India's willpower to resist aggression.

The Congress will then be reluctantly compelled to utilize all the nonviolent strength it has gathered since 1920 when it adopted nonviolence as part of its policy and for the vindication of its political rights and liberties.

Such a widespread struggle would be inevitably under the leadership of Mohandas K. Gan-As the issues raised are of the

most vital and far-reaching imthe Stalinites, especially those in portance to the people of India as well as to the peoples of the item in the Herald-Tribune, which I am enclosing, is beyond me. . .

I know these babies from experience and a little publicity on this matter would serve to show to the members what kind of leadership we have in the union. An E. W. Bliss Worker

New York City (The Herald-Tribune news item enclosed by the above correspondent reports in a July 3 AP dispatch from Pittsburgh that Local 615 of the UE has filed suit against the union's international officers, "charging violation of autonomy provided in the local's charter" and accusing international representative Fred Haug of having "illegally negotiated a wage contract with the Pittsburgh Equitable Meter Company and prevented the local union's committee from participating in the negotiations.'

According to the dispatch, signing of the contract, terms of which were not disclosed, ended a four-day strike called by Local 615 in protest against the company's delay in negotiating a new pact with the local. "The suit also named the meter company as co-defendant, charging it conspired 'unlawfully, fraudulently and clandestinely' with the international officers to deprive the local membership of bargaining rights."

THE MILITANT does not have any other information about the Local 615 suit, and has not been able to check on the accuracy of this dispatch. — THE EDITOR.)

IN HARLEM

is on sale at the

The CANNOT EMANCIPATE ITSELVE WHORE LABOR WITH A BLACK SKIN IS BRANDED - KARL Negro Struggle

By Albert Parker

Randolph's Petition Campaign

Ever since A. Philip Randolph revived the March-on-Washington movement early in the spring, we - and the Negro masses - have been asking: "What does Randolph propose the Negro people should do now? We fully endorse the major obective of the movement, which can be stated briefly as the abolition of Jim Crowism everywhere. But how shall we go about achieving it?"

At first Randolph formulated two steps. One was to hold mass meetings in key cities. This was carried through on a large scale in New York and Chicago: and it annears that the meeting in Washington, D. C., to be held in August, will also be strongly

The other step that Randolph proposed was a conference between Negro leaders and Roosevelt to "have a frank, candid and plain talk. . . about the whole situation."

On several occasions since then this column has criticized Randolph and the official leadership of the March-on-Washington movement on precisely this noint. It is not that we oppose the holding of such a conference. No, for we hold the point of view that Randolph himself expressed on this question a year ago when he was organizing the movement: "Evidently, the regular, normal and respectable method of conferences and netitions. while proper and ought to be continued as conditions warrant, certainly don't

work. They don't do the job." We have continued to hammer away at this question - and we have heard through a mutual friend that Randolph and some of his associates are quite put out at us because while we keep advocating support for the March-on-Washington movement. we also keep stressing the fact that its self appointed leaders don't have an adequate program to offer the Negro masses. Randolph has never attempted to publicly answer our criticism-and has tried to dismiss us as unworthy of an answer because we are a small group that does not have much influence among the Negro masses

But Randolph knows that we have only been expressing the thoughts of the Negro masses who come to the March-on-Washington movement in such great numbers only because they think it is different from cause they think it intends to put up a militant fight against

So Randolph has felt it necessary to come out with something additional. And what does he propose now? A petition campaign.

This petition is addressed to Roosevelt and it calls upon him to issue an executive order as a wartime measure to abolish racial discrimination "in every hamlet, village, town and city within the confines of the United States and its territories; and in the Army, Navy, Air Corps, Marines, defense industries and every department of the govern-

ment - city, state and federal." The aim, says Randolph, is to secure 5.000.000 signatures from "every president and educator of the colleges and universities, leading preachers, trade union heads, captains of businesses, senators, congressmen, governors, mayors, state legislators, city councilmen — together with the rank and file masses, Negro and white.'

We connot say offhand what the reaction of the Negro masses will be to this new item on Randolph's "program" - but we do know what our own reaction is

So far as we are concerned. petitions fall into the same category as conferences with the president. In and of itself, such a petition can't do any harm. But neither will it, under the present conditions, do much good.

What, after all, will it accomplish? Will it tell President Roosevelt and the ruling class anything they don't already know? Doesn't the government know that the Negro people want their rights as citizens? Hasn't it conducted secret surveys and found this out found it out so definitely that it has suppressed the results of

the surveys? Will it prove that 5,000,000 people are in favor of equality for the Negroes? But the government already knows that 13,000,-000 Negroes are in favor of it. and that the great majority of the organized labor movement, representing white and Negro workers, also has passed resolutions to this effect.

Will it give added strength to the arguments of Randolph when he finally secures his conference with the president? We doubt it, because the president already knows that many millions of people support the demands that Randolph has raised. Last year when Randolph and his colleagues met with Roosevelt, their request for an executive order was strengthened for the Negro people were planning a March on Washington to dramatize their grievances, and the administration wanted to have it called off. But Roosevelt will not be greatly affected by petitions, not even 5,000,000 of them, because all he has to do is tell his secretary to file them in a waste basket.

If this is the reason why Randolph wants the petition campaign, then not only will it be ineffective, but it also shows how little confidence he places in his other proposal for a conference with Roosevelt.

Now, petitions can sometimes be quite effective - when they are intended as a supplement to protest added to other actions of protest. For example, a petition workers in a plant get together and sign it to notify the employer that if he doesn't grant them whatever it is they want they will strike or take some other action. Then the petition amounts to more than a piece of paper telling the boss that a warning to him that if they they will take other steps that

they are used as a substitute for many ways. other actions, when they are of-

their organization. falls in that last category. Randolph, who has had long experisaid above about the value of petitions. Furthermore, he has failed to offer a program for mass action and pressure against Jim Crow. For these reasons we cannot work up very much interest in the petition.

Except to say: Let there be conditions of the Negro people.

Randolph knows this too. But he is torn by contradictions. On stand that this is still a democthe one hand he wants to be the racy. Labor understands it has leader of the Negro people in the right to go after wage intheir struggle for equal rights. creases and nobody has said that On the other hand he is an all- the workers must accept wage out supporter of the war and rates that somebody else negothe Roosevelt administration. This accounts for the startling difference between his militant

But the Negro masses cannot afford to sit back and wait ray agreed to accept the WLB polwhile Randolph grapples with icy. his contradictions. They must with their natural allies, the laof brotherhood, security and

For a Rising Scale of Wages to Meet **Rising Living Costs**

Monopolies Discourage Better, Cheaper Methods In Great Britain

Hide Inventions to **Cut Time and Costs** Of Wiring Messages

By A. ROLAND

The capitalists and their ideologists have always justified the system of private ownership of the means of production on the ground that it assures industrial progress. Year in and year out this line has been propagated until the the believe there must be something ments and to put them on the

The war is proving daily that now come home to roost. The this idea is just a left-over from whole patent situation has been pressure on General Electric to

terprise w h e n competition existed in its pristine forms. Big scale monopoly capital ism brought in its train industrial decay. The enormous investments in machines and

plants, made the capitalists wary when it came to changes. new patent might mean the scrap ping of millions of dollars of obsoleted machinery. Monopoly capitalism therefore found it necessary to find ways and means to protect its investments against initiative and progress.

Progress consists not only in changes of methods and improvaction, as a formal expression of ed and more efficient processes, but also in the extension of industry so that the good things can be effective sometimes when of life become available to more and more people. Here again big finance stood in the way of progress. The motive of business under this system is profit. The best rate of profit and the greatest absolute profits could be obtained by restricting the market at some point rather than exthey want something, it becomes | panding it indefinitely. It has become a commonplace that capdon't get their demands justified. | italism has reached the stage where it is capable of supplying, he will like even less than a technically speaking, an abundance of goods for all. Yet every Similarly, petition campaigns man in the street knows that not can sometimes be quite harmful only is there no abundance for to a movement. That is when all, there is hardly enough in

The government of the capitalfered as something to occupy the ists has always connived to aid minds and activities of the rank | big business in all its desires. and file of a movement and get | One would have to be very naive their attention away from other indeed to believe that the govand much better means of pro- ernment has not been aware of test, when they are offered as the methods used by the trusts something to "keep the members to hamper initiative and to rebusy" so that they won't ask strict production. It is a hypocritheir leaders to take other steps tical and guilty conscience that to achieve the objectives of speaks now of the aim of the war in terms of plenty for all after We strongly suspect that it is over. The politicians mere-Randolph's motive in launching ly recognize in this that the massthe present petition campaign es have no good cause to make them enthusiastic to fight the war. Since men fight better when ence in the labor movement, they believe in an idea for which knows the truth of everything they fight, the government tries to supply such an idea in the rent telegraphy. These processes form of a promise. The masses remain unimpressed by such a the old slow and cumbersome promise, particularly when they method of sending messages let-

Telegraph Combines The government is following the G. E. and Power Trust old road of making the rich rich-Conspire to Restrict er and the poor poorer. PRIVATE INITIATIVE AND

THE NEEDS OF THE WAR Still the government is up against the problem of producing war goods on the most gigantic scale eyer undertaken. It is therefore forced to intervene more and more actively in many situations where the past practices of business have tended to hold back industry. This is particularly true of the patent situation. Every big enterprise has made it the practice to buy or steal patcapitalists themselves began to ents which endangered its invest-

Operate the Factories Under

Workers' Control and In the

Recently there was the situa-

world markets by agreements.

stricting the use of methods of

country in order to aid the mon-

opolizing of this field by its Ger-

This week's "scandal" involves

the communications trust, parti-

cularly the telegraph monopoly

of Western Union and Postal

Telegraph. If we mention this

case it is only because it is the

most recent. But it is also ab-

solutely typical of what is going

on in every field. The govern-

ment need only investigate its

own Patents Office to find out

some of the truth in this respect.

made only when the situation

MAINTAINING BACKWARD

STATE OF PRODUCTION

cheaper.

Interests of the Masses!

big improvements.

Wide-Spread Use of Fluorescent Lighting Facts recently revealed show that General Electric Company and various power companies conspired to prevent increased use of fluorescent lighting. This

improved method of lighting uses only one-third of the power | young miners. According to the required for the incandescent lamps now most widely used and among the youth is one in four, would lower the profits of the shelf unused. This conniving has power monopolies. The power companies placed

the early days of small-scale en- shoved out into the open for an withdraw an exhibit of fluores-**Expropriate the Trusts!**

World's Fair in the Buffalo Niagara and Eastern Power Co., in a letter to G. E. invoked the understand-

equipment in the really big airing. Not a week passes with- ing that our group had in Cleveout some new revelation concern- land" which promised "that ading the anti-social handling by vances in the lighting art should the trusts of patents involving not be at the expense of wattage."

The pressure on G. E. was suction created by the international cessful in securing the withdrawcartels in their efforts to divide al of the fluorescent lamp exhibit. It was obviously hoped there-Here we find Standard Oil re- by to keep the information about this technical improvement from the people.

making synthetic rubber in this According to the Department of Justice, the conspiracy has continued to the present day. The Department charges that General Electric is trying to prevent its competitor, Hy-Grade Sylvania, from making additional fluorescent lighting.

> how the introduction of new methods which endanger the jobs of thousands of workers should be handled.)

The facsimile method is very much on the order of television and permits the transmission of paper? whole newspaper pages in the very briefest time. Carrier tele-But such investigations are graphy means the more effective use of present sending channels becomes extremely critical in re- since many messages may be sent

with the system of telegraphy. The solution for this situation This system has been kept in the will never be seriously undermost backward state by the taken by the present government. trusts which control it - with The Roosevelt regime may interthe direct aid of the government. vene here and there even to the The inventions in the hands of point of nationalizing a given slander and frameup the militant this trust for a number of years, critical industry. But this is and revolutionary opponents of owned by the companies involved merely war patch-work. No real Stalinism. It is no exaggeration for the purpose of keeping them out of use, would enable the sending of messages much faster of industry by the government charges today. and at the same time much with really democratic control by the workers engaged in the vari-The press mentions three inous industries, would be a preventions available, fully developliminary step leading towards a ed, but hardly used at all: 1. Rereal solution. The dead hand of perforator switching; 2. Facsimbe torn from its grip on the mills, ile telegraphy; 3. Carrier curmines and factories. These could then be run according to a nawould do away completely with tional plan worked out by the best engineers under the control see how little the war is affect- ter by letter by manual opera- of a Workers' and Farmers' Goving the profits of big business. tion. (We do not discuss here ernment.

lation to the conduct of the war. simultaneously over the same That is just what has happened lines.

Anti-Trotskyist

(Continued from page 1)

seling by the employers, long hours, low pay, etc., has led to a series of strikes and the recent move by the Churchill government establishing a joint board of mineowners, miners and government representatives to "control" the industry. The Minister for Mines, D. R. Grenfell, reported in the House of Commons on June 2 that "during the three weeks ending May 23 there were 86 strikes in the coal industry, involving 58, 000 workers." Particularly exploited are the

Miners Federation, the death rate and thousands of deaths in the mining districts can be attributed to disease or injury from pit work in youth. At a colliery in the Rhondda valley, the average wage of the pit boys, doing praccent lighting at tically the work of adults, is 2 pounds, or about \$8 a week. On top of this it must be remembered that these young workers are One of them, bound to their jobs by the Essential Works Order, and face either prison and fines or drafting in the army if they try to seek better paid work.

And while the dissatisfaction of the "spirit of the miners is increasing, the mine union leaders and the British Stalinists are doing everything possible to sabotage militant action and to prevent the workers from conducting a struggle to im prove their conditions.

It is easy to see from the June issue of the Appeal, which surely was circulated in the Yorkshire mining area before July 14, why Hall filed his charges. For on its front page is a story entitled "Miners' Officials Betray York shire Strikers," a powerful indictment of the union bureacrats for their refusal to champion and support the demands and strikes of the miners.

Is it any wonder that under such conditions the union bureaucrats have "lost control" of the members? And is it not under standable that such bureaucrats, unable to answer the arguments of the Appeal, are trying to regain "control" of the members by a slanderous charge against the publishers and distributors of that

STALINISTS ADD THEIR HELP

What role the British Stalinists played in this frame-up campaign, it is impossible to say at this time. Unquestionably, however, they played at least as dirty a part as was played by their American cousins.

The Stalinists are always on the lookout for opportunities to solution can be found under the to say that they are direct authors profit system. The nationalizing of most of such slanderous

But even when someone else beats them to the invention of a slander, the Communist Party is not above borrowing and embel lishing it. A case in example is monopoly capitalism would thus the article on the UP dispatch which was printed in the Amer ican Daily Worker of July 16.

The Daily Worker reprinted most of the dispatch, including the section about the payment of \$40 a week to Appeal salesmen. Most, but not all. Very discreetly it left out the paragraph in which Hall "revealed" the fact that the Socialist Appeal's "subversive" demands amounted to nothing more than-workers control of produc tion and union control of military

To Hall, such a workers' pro gram amounts to incitement to "sabotage." He evidently is not so experienced a slanderer as the carry through the remaining por- the CIO and AFL tops have given | Stalinists, who know what to pick

And while Hall, who is on the scene and subject to a certain a express purpose of breaking the Stalinists in this country feel no 1941 strike wave which reached such restraint. Not only do they its high point in the CIO victory distort the dispatch by leaving out an important section, but they characterized this board, and all go so far as to put a title on their story vindicating the charge ti-labor." But under Roosevelt's to make directly: "Trotskyites

Further details of this frameur will be reported in THE MILI his impressions on Britain today tivities.

will have to fight for a rising scale of wages to keep pace with Now that the decision has been the inevitable spiral in the cost the employers and to the cowardly If the workers are to defend surrender of their rights by the

We Support The Struggle Of China-2

ese struggle against Japanese imperialism indicate that we support all colonial and semicolonial nations against an imperialist country? Not in the least. Our support depends upon whether or not the particular struggle is essentially one for the independence of the colonial nation and not a struggle in the interests of a rival imperialist country. Basing ourselves on the general principle that a war of a colonial people against an imperialist oppressor is progressive, we analyze all the factors involved in a particular struggle to determine whether its essential character is for the independence of the colonial nation or in the interests of a rival imperialist country. This is the only Marxist approach to the question, for Marxism demands above all an analysis of all the concrete factors involved in a particular phenomenon.

Obviously in the case of China the question whether to support it in the struggle against Jananese imperialism at the present time is not so simple a question as in the case of the Soviet Union. For in the Soviet Union the one objective factor of nationalized property is the alldetermining factor for a Marxist. In any struggle between the Soviet Union and a capitalist country we support the Soviet Union under all circumstances because in such a struggle a defeat of the Soviet Union destroys or at least endangers the existence of nationalized

In the case of China we have no such basic criterion. It is a colonial country but also a capitalist one. Hence the general rule of supporting a colonial nation fighting against an imperialist country may not apply under circumstances where it is clear that the colonial nation is not in reality fighting for its own independence but for the interest of another imperialist nation.

A decision to look upon the struggle of the Chinese nation against Japanese imperialism as part of the imperialist conflict. and therefore not to be supported, is not in itself a rejection or modification of revolutionary Marxist policy on the colonial question, provided one bases his opinion on the conclusion, after an analysis of all the facts, that the struggle has become completely subordinated to the imperialist war. The controversy, if any, would center around the alleged facts which make the struggle subordinate to the imperialist war.

As I pointed out last week, Shachtman in his article on China in the June issue of New International comes to the general conclusion that while an imperialist war is raging, it is impermissible to support a colonial or semi-colonial nation struggling against an imperialist oppressor. Another theory which he presents as a justification for his change of positiona theory also applicable to the Soviet Union — is the following: Because China is not so industrially developed as the United States and must get material aid from the United States, it is therefore dependent on the latter country and its struggle is therefore an imperialist struggle. There is not an iota of Marxism in this kind of an approach.

Prior to Pearl Harbor the United States furnished some aid to China. Not because American imperialism was interested in the welfare of the Chinese people but because its own interests were threatened by a Japanese victory. Schachtman knew that and did not change his position Even the Oehlerites permitted China to receive some aid from U.S. imperialism.

There is no doubt but that after Pearl Harbor far more aid was forthcoming to China. Perhaps the capture of the Burma Road by the Japanese has reduced the quantity of armaments reaching China but that is not important. We shall assume that the aid reaching China from the United States is far greater now than it was prior to Pearl Harbor. Does the quantity of material sent to China by the United States change the character of the Chinese conflict? To ask that question is sufficient to show the absurdity of such a contention.

Even prior to the official daclaration of war, U.S. aviators were fighting for China. Let us suppose that now there are many more of them in China. That of course is a more important factor. But no one who is a real-

Does our support of the Chin- istic Marxist will contend that getting technical help, or even military help through specially trained officers, changes the character of the Chinese confliet. What is important is: who, in the last analysis, is in control of the armed forces and therefore in control of the conflict?

If the Indian nationalists had accepted the Cripps' offer and gone to war against Japan with the Indian armies under the control of British imperialism, India would not be fighting for its independence but for British imperialism. Thus far no one in his right senses can say that it is not the Chinese government who controls the Chinese armies and all other armies in China. Should the situation change and should a sufficient number of American troops be sent to China and take control of the struggle against Japan, then we would have to change our attitude. But this has not

One can say in fact that Chinese nationalist feeling has grown since Pearl Harbor. The knowledge that U.S. imperialism is now depending upon China to carry on the struggle against Japan seems to have strengthened the independent at titude of China. At any rate there is no evidence whatever that China has as yet permitted its struggle to become subordinated to the imperialist war.

Shachtman pokes fun at Comrade Wright's statement in his article on China in the April issue of Fourth International to the effect that it is the independent character of the Chinese struggle that determines our attitude to it. What other general criterion is possible? Naturally in the present imperialist epoch no struggle can be entirely independent of the imperialist forces dominating the world. But the mere fact that there is an imperialist war raging does not automatically create a state of complete dependency and subordination in the case of the war China is waging against Japanese imperialism. The independent character of the Chinese struggle means that in case of a victory over Japan, China will not be under the political yoke of an imperialist oppressor. That U.S. imperialism will gain by a victory over Japan is undoubtedly true, but that is not the question. The important question is whether, as the will be politically (not economically) independent in case of a victory over Japan.

Maxists do not support all colonial or semi-colonial nations participating in a war against an imperialist oppressor. It is only when the war is for their own independence that we support them. On the basis of Shachtman's general proposition, he and those who think like him would not support India if it were to begin a struggle against Great Britain under the leadership of representatives of Indian capitalism. Marxists would support it even though the Indian government would accept aid from the Japanese and German imperialists.

On the other hand if the Indian nationalists were to join Great Britain in the struggle against Japan, we would not support them. Under present conditions such a struggle would not be one for Indian independence, but for the interests of British imperialism.

Marxists do not support the Mexican government in its war against Germany because that war was obviously declared by virtue of the pressure exerted by the United States. In every instance of a colonial nation participating in a war against an imperialist nation Marxists analyze all the factors involved and determine their attitude after such an analysis and not on the basis of some general principle and some logical deductions from that principle.

So then, Shachtman will undoubtedly poke fun at us and say: you will support China against Japan, India against Great Britain and you will have three or four different positions with reference to the war. Correct! We shall analyze the facts in the case of every country and if the facts warrant the conclusion that a particular country is waging a progressive war we shall support the struggle of that country. That will not give the uniformity and purity which Shachtman demands, but it will be strictly in the tradition of Marxism, a tradition tenaciously adhered to by all Fourth Internationalists.

no illusions. 5,000,000 or even 15,000,000 signatures to a petition are not going to change the conditions of the Negro people. conditions of the Negro people. Only an all-out fight against Jim Crow and the system that breeds it and the forces who defend it \$1-A-Day Wage Increase

tiates for them." On the eve of the decision, Murray threatened to call for a refewords and his lack of a fighting rendum vote of the United Steel Workers on the issue. But after a conference with Roosevelt, Mur-

The four labor members of the go forward, seize the opportu- WLB voted against the wage denities that exist - build a pow- cision. Thomas Kennedy, Secerful, militant, democratic or- retary-Treasurer of the United ganization — create an alliance Mine Workers and a WLB member, stated that "the decision stybor movement - challenge the mies collective bargaining and repolitical power of their enemies stricts it to the employers' interand help to create the Work- pretation of the WLB formula." ers' and Farmers' Government | The official statement of the four that alone will open a new life WLB labor members declared: "The wage ruling struck a heavy risk a serious blow at their moblow at the foundations of collective bargaining."

IN RETURN FOR AN EMPTY PROMISE

But despite the statement of the labor members of the Board, and in spite of Murray's threats to turn down the decision, Murray, after his meeting with Roosevelt, pushed through a unanimous vote at the United Steel Workers Policy Committee session to the green light to the employers accept the decision. The state- and the government to step-up the ment of the Committee said: "We anti-labor offensive, By accepting their hard-won standards, they top union officialdom.

tions of the National Economic further encouragement to the and what to leave out. Program, such as an effective tax drive against labor's standards. program, a real effective freezing of prices of goods, rents and other items of cost to the people."

In other words, the USWA leadership accepted a decision which "stymies collective bargaining" in return for Roosevelt's empty promise to put into effect his fraudulent "equality of sacrifice" program.

tee conflicted sharply with the members of the WLB, who wrote: 'The board has acted on the assumption that the cost of living will now be stabilized. Obviously

"The board is obviously aware that the Office of Price Administration has permitted increases in the price of gasoline, canned goods, and other commodities, with warnings that further price increases must be anticipated."

By sacrificing the right to strike, the top union officials gave

now look toward the President to the WLB decision in Little Steel, The union officials began this

retreat with their endorsement of mount of pressure from the York the National Defense Mediation shire miners, does not dare to pro Board in March, 1941. This board ject his slanders in any but the was created by Roosevelt for the most round-about fashion, the over Ford. Murray had correctly The above quoted statement of such boards, as instruments to the Steel Workers Policy Commit- "stop wage increases" and as "an- which Hall did not feel it wise minority report of the four labor pressure he endorsed it. Forced Cauaht Wrecking British Mines.' to resign from the NDMB after its attempt to break the captive mine strike, Murray together with TANT as we receive them from the other CIO officials including Britain. Meanwhile, L. Lawrence and incontestably now, that means John L. Lewis, endorsed the WLB will soon continue the report of at the expense of the workers. in December 1941. The CIO of-To single out the workers for such ficials knew then that the WLB with an account of the WIL's ac discriminatory treatment is to was merely a replica of the NDMB, with the same Chairman Davis who had led the attack on the miners.

by their union leadership.

accepted by the CIO leaders the of living. To do this, the militant road is paved for further drastic workers of the CIO and AFL must wage controls which will exact a reclaim their basic weapon, the heavy toll from the workers' liv- right to strike. Only in this way ing conditions. Faced with rising can they preserve the great orprices, forced savings, a shortage ganizations they have built up by of consumer goods, the workers their militant action, and call a have been led into a blind alley halt to the anti-labor offensive of

THEIMILITANT

Published in the interests of the Working People.

VOL. VI.-No. 30

Saturday, July 25, 1942

Published Weekly by THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASS'N at 116 University Place. New York, N. Y. Telephone: Algonquin 4-8547

> Editor: GEORGE BREITMAN

THE MILITANT follows the policy of permitting its contributors to present their own views in signed articles. These views therefore do not necessarily represent the policies of THE MILI-TANT which are expressed in its editorials.

Bubscriptions: \$2.00 per year; \$1.00 for six months. Foreign: \$3.00 per year, \$1.50 for six months. Bundle arders: 2 cents per copy in the United States; 4 cents per copy in all fereign countries. Single copies: 5 cents.

"Reentered as second class matter February 13, 1941 at the post office at New York, N. Y., under the Act of March 3, 1879."

JOIN US IN FIGHTING FOR:

- 1. Military training of workers, financed by the government, but under control of the trade unions. Special officers' training camps, financed by the government but controlled by the trade unions, to train workers to become officers.
- 2. Trade union wages for all workers drafted into the army.
- 3. Full equality for Negroes in the armed forces and the war industries-Down with Jim Crowism everywhere.
- 4. Confiscation of all war profits. Expropriation of all war industries and their operation under workers' control.
- 5. For a rising scale of wages to meet the rising cost of living.
- 6. Workers Defense Guards against vigilante and fascist attacks.
- 7. An Independent Labor Party based on the Trade Unions.
- 8. A Workers' and Farmers' Govern-

How To Win The German Workers

Last week's MILITANT printed an interesting letter from an anti-fascist worker in the German underground movement. At least as significant as the information that the underground movement continues to exist and function even in a Germany at war, was the attitude expressed in the concluding paragraph of the letter, which said:

'To act now (against the Nazi regime), means giving support to British imperialism. This we democratic countries will employ fine phrases, rather than fine deeds, we will wait until all armies collapse — and they will collapse! However, as soon as the war will be turned into a war for real freedom, we will act. True, we will not make a revolution, because we cannot; but we will paralyze the Nazi war industry much more effectively than tens of thousands of bombers. For that purpose we are organized, prepared - and wait-

we expressed our disagreement with the view of the writer; pointed out that a revolutionary struggle against the ruling class in Germany is not a struggle on behalf of British imperialists who are just as opposed to such a struggle as the Nazis; and declared that the German workers should strike a blow against their ruling class as soon as possible.

The view expressed by the author of this letter is quite probably held by a significant section of the anti-fascist workers in Germany at the present time. And the reason for it, we think, is the one briefly referred to in the letter, that is, the fear of the German people that "in the event the war is lost, a second Versailles would emerge."

This fear of a new and worse Versailles, we have persistently pointed out, is the strongest weapon in Hitler's arsenal. The German workers hate Hitler and everything he stands for but they hesitate to undertake the struggle to overthrow him and to incur the sacrifices that such a struggle entails for fear lest their efforts result only in the kind of post-war world which was forced upon Germany after the overthrow of the Kaiser in 1918.

The potency of this fear is revealed both in that Hitler's propaganda plays up the possibility of a new Versailles more than it does any thing else, as well as by the fact that it confuses and restrains the revolutionary struggle of the German anti-fascist workers against Hitler.

That the propaganda of the British and American ruling classes cannot inspire any confidence in the German anti-fascists is not surprising. These workers know that the "democratic" imperialists intend to partition Germany and police the world for the next hundred years and above all that they will try to drown in blood any attempt to establish a Workers' Government in Germany. The German workers will never trust the Allied capitalists who helped Hitler to obtain

Another revealing thing shown by this letter is that the writer and those anti-fascists associated with him, who also do not want to help British

imperialism, did not feel obliged to discard their "waiting" attitude in the interests of aiding the Soviet Union, which had been at war with Germany for six months when the letter was written.

And for this failure to mobilize German antifascist action against the Nazis while the Soviet Union is fighting a life and death struggle, the responsibility must be placed on the Stalinist bureaucracy in the USSR.

By its policy, first of white-washing the crimes of Hitlerism during the period of the Stalin-Hitler pact, and now of endorsing the war aims of the "democratic" imperialists, the Stalinist regime has deprived the Soviet masses of the aid of antifascist, anti-imperialist forces in Germany who are in the best position to paralyze and destroy the striking power of the Nazi military machine.

Far from trying to win over the German people, the Stalinist bureaucracy is engaged in a drive to inoculate the Soviet masses with hatred — pure, undistilled and undiscriminating — of everything German, the German masses as well as the German ruling class, German culture as well as Nazi ideology. Maurice Hindus' July 9 dispatch to the N. Y. Herald-Tribune from Kuibyshev depicts the situation as follows: "I cannot imagine," he says, after reporting evidences of this drive, "any Russian. . . wanting at the end of the war to spend a single drop of blood or a single Russian sunflower to help any group in Germany to enthrone any kind of new society, however Leftist it might be."

We doubt that Stalin's attempts to destroy internationalism among the Soviet masses have had the success Hindus attributes to them. But that they have important results was shown only last week when Goebbels was able to boast that "the Soviet Union has failed to give any proof to the German soldier of its unity of theory and practice of Bolshevism. None of them will return a Communist from the East." And the very fact that such a drive is underway is damning proof of Stalin's refusal to utilize the anti-Hitler sentiments in Germany for pro-Soviet purposes.

If the Soviet Union is to be saved, if fascism is to be destroyed, the anti-fascist Germans must be won over to revolutionary action as soon as possible. Only the policies of international revolution, only the policies of Lenin which saved the USSR in its infancy — and not imperialist or Stalinist policies — can achieve this task.

The Negro People In 1917 And 1942

The attitude of the Negro people toward the wars conducted by the ruling class has undergone an important change in the 25 years since the first world war. This was clearly demonstrated at last week's Los Angeles convention of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored Peo-

It cannot be truthfully said that the Negro masses were any more enthusiastic about the war and the sacrifices they were called upon to make in 1917 than they are today.

But the government promised them that it was a "war for democracy" and that they would be granted their democratic rights when the war was won. Whether they believed in these promises we cannot say, but undoubtedly many of them hoped that some of them would be kept.

And their leaders, those who were at the head of the prominent Negro organizations such as the NAACP, counseled them to "close ranks" with the rest of the country against the "common enemy" and to "adjourn" their grievances until after the war. In this way, they said, the Negroes would preserve "national unity" and earn the gratitude of the government and thus open the way for the abolition of Jim Crow.

Whether the Negro masses thought this was the best course or not is not important. What mattered was that without a fighting leadership to guide them, they more or less accepted this policy.

Then came the end of the war and the Negro people found that instead of gratitude they got lynched when they wore army uniforms on the streets of the southern cities; instead of better conditions they were greeted with race riots when they applied for jobs.

Last week's convention of the NAACP showed that the Negro people have not forgotten this lesson. The unmistakable keynote of the convention was voiced in the resolution declaring, "We will not abandon our fight for racial justice during the war, we will not slacken our efforts."

It is not that the middle-class Negro leaders have suddenly become revolutionary opponents of capitalism. These leaders are also "squarely behind the war," as they put it. It is not that they reject the appeals for "national unity" and sacrifices. It is simply that the Negro masses are today so insistent on fighting for their rights now that no Negro leader could dare to get up and say that the Negroes should "adjourn" or "postpone" their fight for equal rights and hope to maintain the slightest influence among the masses.

The Negro masses, like the working class in general, have been forced to accept the war. But their slogan "Now or never"; their desire to gain their rights in spite of the demands for "national unity;" their rallying in great numbers to the March-on-Washington movement which preaches militancy — all these demonstrate their skepticism toward the promises of the ruling class about "democracy" and the "four freedoms" and the "century of the common man," and their complete lack of confidence in that ruling class.

These are important signs for the future. They herald the coming struggles of the workers against the ruling class that offers them only imperialist wars, fascism and racial discrimination. They promise that in these struggles the Negro worker, the most oppressed of them all, can be depended upon to play his full glorious part.

Loss Of Vital Regions **Menaces Soviet Defense**

(Continued from page 1) of the north Caucasus as a food producing area was emphasized no time to lose." in a report issued by the U.S. Department of Agriculture on

July 15. The gravity of Soviet losses in terms of foodstuffs cannot be exraine alone represented the loss of more than a fifth of Soviet wheat, a third of Soviet barley, a quarter of Soviet corn, and three-quarters of Soviet sugar beet. After the loss of White Russia and Moldavia, the loss of

cial warnings that mortal peril leadership and such policies. confronts the USSR. On July 15 the Stalinist censorship permit- RED ARMY CAN CONQUER

report published in the July 49-

who made up this committee in-

Dr. William K. Gregory of the

American Museum of Natural

History and president of the

American Association of Physical

Dr. Harry L. Shapiro, also of

the American Museum of Natural

Dr. Franz Weidenreich, former-

ly of Peking Union Medical Col-

lege and now working at the

American Museum of Natural His-

Dr. W. W. Greulich, of West-

can Medical Association.

Anthropologists.

Brush Foundation.

History.

| cable to the N. Y. Times that | only under its true banner, the their supplies of meat, grain and "Soviet resistance - military, banner of Socialism. Stalin has other foodstuffs. The importance economic and political - should not be overestimated. There is

These words are open only to one interpretation: Stalin conedes victory to Hitler if no "second front" is opened up immediately. But while this "second aggerated. The loss of the Uk- front" remains in the realms of speculation, the Soviet Union finds itself in dire danger of a second attack by Japan in the East. This is being admitted today even in Moscow.

The bankruptcy of the Stalinthe Caucasian granary would ist leadership and of the Stalinraise the specter of famine over ist policy of depending on the the first workers' state in his- imperialist "democracies" should now be clear even to the blind. The Kremlin by no means min- The Soviet Union cannot be vicimizes the situation in its offi- toriously defended by such a

Scientists Hit Red

"The segregation of the blood of white persons from the

blood of Negroes in the blood bank is, therefore, not only un-

scientific but is a grievous affront to the largest minority group

in our country." Thus, did the Committee on Race Relations

of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists de-

nounce the Jim Crow policy of the American Red Cross in a

issue of the Journal of the Ameri- | will recall that the Red Cross at

The distinguished scientists the blood bank from Negro don-

ern Reserve University School of this Jim Crow policy by declar-

Medicine and director of the ing that "in the interest of de-

Readers of THE MILITANT who may need blood transfusions

David Udell

Party Builder

(Two weeks ago THE MILITANT reported the loss at

sea of three American Trotskyists, merchant marine seamen

whose ship was attacked by a U-boat. Following is a brief

biographical note on one of the three, David Udell, by one of

his comrades in the Boston branch of the Socialist Workers

David Udell joined the Trotskyist movement in Boston

With his usual thoroughness he applied himself to the

Il years ago. He grew up with our movement and contrib-

uted to its growth by his devotion, knowledge and activity.

He was one of the builders of the Boston branch — a branch

study of the principles of Marx, Lenin and Trotsky and soon

became a convincing speaker and agitator. He functioned

on every important branch committee - executive, educa-

tional, etc. In the 11 years of his party life he was often sent

as delegate to party conferences and conventions. Last year

when the Boston branch needed an organizer, he gave up a

well-paid job to function as organizer as long as his savings

lasted. He possessed outstanding organizing abilities. A few

years ago he helped to build a food workers union of over

500 members. Just before he left on his last trip, Dave

stayed in Boston two weeks longer than he had planned, to

help his comrades who were involved in a strike of a CIO

corner speaking, literature distribution and sale, fund col-

lections, whatever the task — the party had only to name

it and Dave was ready to undertake it. A few years back,

his voice was often heard at the corner of Washington and

Winter Streets, the shopping center of Boston, calling: "Buy

THE MILITANT, the only paper which tells the truth about

His lovable, kind character, his sympathy and concern

Dave was a true builder of Bolshevism. His whole life

for comrades brought him very close to all our members.

They were ready to accept his criticism and advice many

interest centered in the job of promoting it. He joined the

seamen's union to train himself further for organizational

work. He died in pursuing his revolutionary duty. We share

the deep grief of his loss with his parents, who never inter-

fered with his work and were proud of him, although they

on our banner together with the names of the other martyrs

of our cause. We do not stop to cry or despair! The best

tribute to his memory is to intensify the struggle for which

a friend and comrade, then close our ranks and march on

with heavy hearts but resolved to continue his — our work!

Farewell, Dave — we shall not fail you!

Boston's loss is the party's loss. Dave's name is inscribed

We pause to express our deep sorrow at the loss of such

A. F. K.

times when others were unable to influence them.

No Jimmie Higgins work was too much for him. Street-

our party has reason to be proud of.

the right to sell our paper.

did not share his views.

he lived and died.

Cross Jim Crow Policy

the National Association for the

Advancement of Colored People,

icy was characterized by the

the race than refusal of blood

"PREJUDICES SHOULD BE

RESPECTED," SAYS DAVIS

Speaking officially for the Amer-

ican Red Cross, Norman H. Davis,

its president, attempted to justify

mocracy," the prejudices of men

from Negroes."

deprived it of this banner. His policies have nothing in common with those policies which, under Lenin and Trotsky, led to the establishment of the first workers' state; which defended that new power in the Civil War of 1918-1920 against the combined assault of all the imperialists; and which alone made possible the great economic advances under the Five Year Plans. These Bolshevik policies alone can avert the impending disaster in the USSR. There is still time to issue the Bolshevik call of international solidarity and to summon the workers, above all the workers of Germany, to struggle side by side with the Red Army and the Soviet masses for the overthrow of all imperialism, whether fascist or "democratic," and for the establishment of the Soted Ralph Parker in Moscow to The Red Army can conquer cialist United States of Europe.

New Legislation Is A Threat To Very Life Of Unionism

By ARTHUR BURCH

Two Kinds of Anti-Labor Bills

Joseph A. Padway, AFL general counsel, calls attention in the July American Federationist to numerous bills now pending in Congress which if passed would not merely "curtail established rights of wage earners" but would "wipe out the institution of trade unionism by abolishing constitutional rights accorded all other citizens." These bills fall into two categories: those designed to suppress "extortion," "robbery" and "racketeering" and those known as "registration and accounting bills."

It must undoubtedly seem strange to have bills introduced against extortion and racketeering at this late date when laws against these crimes have been on the statute books since time immemorial. The purpose becomes clear, however, when these crimes are redefined in such a manner as to include within their scope the lawful activities of trade unions.

Thus "any threat, force and coercion" whereby a "thing of value" is obtained becomes a crime. If a union asks higher wages, which is of course a "thing" of value," to meet rising living costs and advises the boss that it may be forced to resort to strike action to get its just demand, that can be construed as a "threat" to obtain a "thing of value." Strikes are thus virtually outlawed by the subtles wording

(The bosses and their henchmen in Congress like to turn everything upside down. For example, every union at one time or another has been accused of violence for daring to defend itself against the violence of the bosses. In reality it is the bosses who resort to coercion when by their control of the means of production they force workers to labor at low wages, with starvation as the only alternative.'

"More Subtle — Equally Dangerous"

Padway designates the "registration and accounting bills" as even "more subtle" and "equally dangerous" and diagnoses the recently-introduced Senate Joint Resolution 148 as typical of all such bills. it begins with a patriotic preamble calling upon union officers to stand by the government in this hour of crisis. Then it calls for the registration of the name and address of the labor union; names and salaries of officers; initiation fee, dues and assessments; number of workers and limitations on membership; date of last election, method of electing officers, vote cast and date of last financial statement. Now all this information is readily available at the union office merely for the asking. The real joker is the next pro ision of the bill which calls for "such other information as the Secretary of Labor may by regulation prescribe."

Padway correctly calls this registration as "the first step to complete licensing and regulation of labor unions by the government. Today information on dues and initiation fees is compelled; tomorrow the dues and initiation fees will be fixed. Today the qualifications for membership are required to be set forth; tomorrow they will be subscribed by government fiat. Today salaries of officers must be announced to the Department of Labor; tomorrow the Department of Labor or some other governmental agency will announce them to the unions.

Whether it be the Selective Service Act or any other law, the preliminary registration is merely preparation for future action. This interpretation is borne out by the omnibus clause which gives unlimited authority to a public agency to probe into private affairs of the labor unions. If anyone doubts the real aims of such legislation, he need only note who sponsors it - the same reactionary group that has always led the struggle against labor.

The trade unions have already had a sad experience with information gathered by a government agency. The Vinson Committee compiled and published information obtained from labor unions and as the result of wrong emphasis and exaggeration, it was made to appear as if the unions were growing wealthy from the war. A campaign was launched to tax the funds of the unions which are nothing but the accumulated savings of the workers. Its purpose was, obviously, to distract attention from the real profiteers of the war who are behind these bills

LESS THAN ONE-HALF OF

Blood and blood plasma banks in bomb-torn England have saved the lives of thousands of civilians who would otherwise have died of shock, due to injury and loss of blood, British medical scientists have reported. If United States cities were bombed tomorrow, the Red Cross would not be able to furnish adequate supplies of dried blood plasma for civilian casualties. First call on the blood bank comes from the armed forces and the needs of civilians are not considered until the military requirements are met.

Rather than offend the numerically small but politically powerful Southern Bourbons, the Red segregation policy upon potential blood donors among the millions of American Negroes. Thus, even in the face of other hurried preparations for bombing attacksblackouts, air-raid warden drills, etc.—the basically important task of building up a large reserve of blood plasma for wounded civil-

The Committee of Physical Anthropologists states flatly "There is no evidence that the blood of Negroes differs in any significant respect from that of white persons." Evidence was given that successful transfusions of blood from white persons to first refused to accept blood for Negroes or vice versa has been accomplished hundreds of times.

should be respected.

ors. Later, under pressure from Reference was also made by the committee to the widespread use in modern medical practice of vac they agreed to accept Negro blood but to keep it separate from the tions from the blood and glands blood of white donors. This polof horses, rabbits, sheep, etc. The Red Cross has never encouraged NAACP as "a greater insult to any foolish prejudices which pa tients might have against treat ment with substances of an ani mal origin, it was pointed out.

> Knowing that the Red Cross of ficials were acting under pressure of the Southern Bourbons, the committee also recalled the cus tom of "better-circumstanced fam ilies" in the South of using Negro women as wetnurses for their babies. Many of the same sub stances that were circulating in the blood of the Negro wetnurses were imbibed by the white babies along with the nutritious elements of the milk, it was observed.

"In view of these facts," the committee stated in its summary. "it seems highly improbable that any soldier or civilian so serious ly wounded as to require a blood or plasma transfusion will insist that it come from a person whose skin is no darker than his own."

But the reactionary officials of the American Red Cross will prob ably not be moved to abandon their Jim Crow policy by even such eminently reasonable and logical arguments as those advanced by this committee of distin guished scientists.

Trade unions throughout the country are being approached by the Red Cross in a search for donors of blood. Progressive trade unionists should point out that by its failure to secure the wholehearted cooperation of the 13,000 the American Red Cross has endangered the success of the blood

RED CROSS GOAL REACHED

In a report made recently by Drs. Albert McCowen and Amos Christie, Director and Associate Director, respectively of the Medical and Health Service of the American Red Cross, it was said that of the 1,280,000 units of dried blood plasma which have been requested by the medical departments of the Army and Navy, considerably less than one-half have been collected.

the coming war." Next to him was Coughlin's man selling Social Justice and Dave often had to defend with his fists

ians has been jeopardized by Jim

Gives the Bosses Valuable Information

Like other bills pending, Resolution 148 goes further than mere registration; it also calls for accounting of receipts and disbursements. Ostensibly this is to protect individual union members against arbitrary and illegal expenditures, but in reality it gives the bosses valuable information as to the union's financial ability to withstand the reactionary moves of the employers. At the present time the union member is sufficiently protected against unwarranted expenditures. Most local unions give accounting to their members and the International unions in turn account to the various locals. If any discrepancies exist, the unions make adequate provisions for inspection and the capitalist courts are only too eager to permit inspection of the union books by individual members, indeed often by finks. Only a militant and vigilant union membership, and not the agents of the bosses, will protect the rank and file of the union.

Padway makes much in his article of the fact that the bosses press for this legislation under the false slogan of patriotism. It is obvious to the average intelligent unionist that the bosses seek still greater profits and are out to undermine trade unionism and to destroy those militant forces in the labor movement who want to combat the sinister aims of monopoly capitalism. What has emboldened the bosses are the voluntary sacrifices which the union leaders have persuaded the unions to make under the same slogan of patriotism.

The workers must put an end to further retreats on the part of the labor unions. They must resist all further encroachments of the bosses on the economic field and must form an independent labor party to fight for their rights in the political sphere. In this way they will serve effective notice on the bosses and their henchmen in Washington to keep their hands off the internal affairs of the unions.